August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 1

2007 DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY DATA TABLES - STANDARD PROCEDURES

DISTRICT NAME: District Rating: Academically Acceptable 088902 DISTRICT NUMBER:

Analysis groups used to determine ratings are marked with an 'X'.

Academically Acceptable standards are shown in parentheses.

GOLIAD

Grade 8 science results are not included because they are not used in the 2007 accountability system. Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

| TEXAS ASSESSMEN | | | | | | | | | Require | f | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------|-----------|--------|------|
| | | 2007 | | | | 2006 | | | Improveme | ent - | |
| | Number | | Pct | Stu | Number | | Pct | Met | | | |
| Performance | Met | Number | Met | ${\tt Grp}$ | Met | Number | Met | Min | Act | | Met |
| Results | Std | Taking | Std | % | Std | Taking | Std | Size | Chg | RI | RI? |
| Reading/ELA (659 | %) | | | | | | | | | | |
| All Students | 719 | 800 | 90% | 100% | 687 | 762 | 90% | | 0 | | |
| African Amer | 45 | 51 | 88% | 6% | 40 | 43 | 93% | | -5 | | |
| Hispanic | 255 | 311 | 82% | 39% | 248 | 290 | 86% | | -4 | | |
| White | 415 | 434 | 96% | 54% | 398 | 428 | 93% | | 3 | | |
| Econ Disadv | 249 | 315 | 79% | 39% | 243 | 295 | 82% | | -3 | | |
| Writing (65%) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All Students | 153 | | 93% | 100% | 156 | 161 | | | -4 | | |
| African Amer | * | * | > 99% | * | * | * | > 99% | | * | | |
| Hispanic | 62 | 69 | 90% | 42% | 63 | 67 | 94% | | -4 | | |
| White | 76 | 81 | 94% | 49% | * | * | | | -5 | | |
| Econ Disadv | 70 | 80 | 88% | 48% | 70 | 73 | 96% | | -8 | | |
| Social Studies | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All Students | 238 | 257 | 93% | 100% | 239 | 248 | 96% | | -3 | | |
| African Amer | * | * | 94% | * | * | * | > 99% | | * | | |
| Hispanic | 73 | 85 | 86% | 33% | 67 | 73 | 92% | | -6 | | |
| White | 147 | 153 | 96% | 60% | 164 | | | | -2 | | |
| Econ Disadv | 62 | 76 | 82% | 30% | 50 | 54 | 93% | | -11 | | |
| Mathematics (459 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All Students | 616 | 791 | 78% | 100% | 596 | 758 | 79% | | -1 | | |
| African Amer | 38 | 51 | 75% | 6% | 30 | 43 | 70% | | 5 | | |
| Hispanic | 213 | 307 | 69% | 39% | 197 | 279 | | Yes | -2 | ** | No |
| White | 362 | 429 | 84% | 54% | 368 | 435 | 85% | | -1 | | |
| Econ Disadv | 207 | 312 | 66% | 39% | 182 | 288 | 63% | Yes | 3 | ** | No |
| Science (40%) | | | | | | | | | _ | _ | |
| All Students | 181 | 256 | 71% | 100% | 155 | 222 | | Yes | 1 | 3 | No |
| African Amer | 9 | 15 | 60% | 6% | 6 | 10 | 60% | | 0 | | |
| Hispanic | 61 | 106 | 58% | 41% | 33 | 73 | | Yes | 13 | ** | No |
| White | 109 | 133 | 82% | | 116 | 139 | 83% | | -1 | | |
| Econ Disadv | 51 | 89 | 57% | 35% | 21 | 55 | 38% | Yes | 19 | ** | No |
| ** Met the min: | imum size | requiremen | t, but | did not | meet the | 70% floor | for Rec | ognize | ed. | | |
| STATE-DEVELOPED | ALTERNATI | VE ASSESSM | ENT II | (SDAA II |) TABLE | | | | Require | he. | |
| ŀ | | 2007 | | | | - 2006 | | | · Improve | ment . | |
| | # Tests | | Pct | Stu | # Tests | | Pct | Met | | | |
| SDAA II | Met | # | Met | Grp | Met | # | Met | Min | Act | | Met |
| Results (50%) | ARD | Tests | ARD | % | ARD | Tests | ARD | Size | e Chg | RI | RI |
| All Students | 100 | 149 | 67% | 100% | 145 | 168 | 86% | Yes | -19 | - 8 | в Мо |
| EXCEPTIONS TABLE | E | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number Msrs Evaluated | Number Allowed | Number Needed | Floor(s) Met? | | Msr(s) Use in 2006 | | Exceptions Applied | | | | |
| 23 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | N/A | N/A | | | | | |

August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 2

2007 DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY DATA TABLES - STANDARD PROCEDURES

DISTRICT NUMBER:

DISTRICT NAME: 088902 District Rating: Academically Acceptable

Analysis groups used to determine ratings are marked with an 'X'.

Academically Acceptable standards are shown in parentheses.

Grade 8 science results are not included because they are not used in the 2007 accountability system. Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

COMPLETION RATE I TABLE (Gr. 9-12) (75.0%)

GOTITAD

| | | of 2006 | Class of 2005 | | | | 5 | Required Improvement - | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------|----|------------|--|
| | # Com- pleters | # dropouts | # in Class | Comp Rate | Stu Grp % | # Com- pleters | # in Class | Comp Rate | Met Min Size | Act Chg | RI | Met RI? | |
| X All Students | 90 | 6 | 101 | 89.1% | 100% | 93 | 94 | 98.9% | | -9.8 | | | |
| African Amer | * | * | 2 | * | 2% | * | 4 | * | | * | | | |
| Hispanic | 28 | 4 | 32 | 87.5% | 32% | 32 | 32 | 100.0% | | -12.5 | | | |
| White | 61 | 1 | 67 | 91.0% | 66% | 56 | 57 | 98.2% | | -7.2 | | | |
| Econ Disadv | 26 | 3 | 29 | 89.7% | 29% | 22 | 22 | 100.0% | | -10.3 | | | |

Decreases in completion rates may be due to significant changes in the dropout definition beginning with the 2005-06 school year.

ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE TABLE (Gr. 7-8) (1.0%)

0

Econ Disadv

Stu # 7-8 Dropout Grp Dropouts Graders Rate All Students 0 225 0.0% 100% African Amer 0 13 0.0% 6% Hispanic 0 78 0.0% 35% White 0 134 0.0% 60%

|-----|

88

Due to definitional changes, Annual Dropout Rate Required Improvement is not calculated in 2007.

39%

Dropout data not evaluated for your accountability rating due to small numbers or no data.

0.0%